

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF VERMONT
FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
DISTRICT OF VERMONT

2025 JUN 18 PM 1:01

CLERK

BY Syl
DEPUTY CLERK

Nayanaben K. Patel,

Plaintiff,

v.

Director, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration
Services,¹

Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-cv-1468

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

A review of the docket in this case reveals the following:

1. On December 23, 2024, Plaintiff filed a Complaint against the Director of the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services. Plaintiff paid the filing fee, filed a Notice of Pro Se Appearance, and summonses were issued.
2. On May 5, 2025, the court ordered Plaintiff to file proof of timely service or to show good cause for the failure to serve process on or before June 6, 2025. Plaintiff was warned that if they “should fail to respond, the case must be dismissed under Rule 4(m).” (Doc. 3 at 2.)
3. No further filings have been received as of the date of this Order.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) states that “[i]f a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Rule 4(l)(1) requires proof of service of the summons be made to the court.


¹ On May 25, 2025, Angelica Alfonso-Royals became the Acting Director of USCIS and is automatically substituted as the defendant in this action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d).

On May 5, 2025, over ninety days after the Complaint was filed, Plaintiff was ordered to file proof of timely service or to show good cause for the failure to serve Defendant. Plaintiff was warned that, should they fail to respond, the case must be dismissed.

Because this case was filed over five months ago, Plaintiff has failed to provide proof of timely service as to Defendant, and Plaintiff has not filed a response to the May 5, 2025 Order demonstrating proof of service or good cause for the failure to timely serve Defendant, this case must be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE under Rule 4(m).

SO ORDERED.

Dated at Burlington, in the District of Vermont, this 18th day of June, 2025.


District Judge *Crawford*
United States District Court